

ÉCOPROJETS COMMUNITY FUND — EVALUATION GRID

	NIL 0	POOR 3 OR 4	GOOD 5 OR 6	VERY GOOD 7 OR 8	EXCELLENT 9 OR 10	TOTAL
1. Relevance of project to the objectives of the <i>Écoprojets</i> Community Fund.	The project does not meet any of the fund's objectives.	The project meets at least 1 objective of the fund.	The project meets at least 3 objectives of the fund.	The project meets at least 5 objectives of the fund.	The project meets all the objectives of the fund.	/10
2. Relevance of the project to the Environment Policy. <i>(protection of water resources, urban agriculture, residual materials, adaptation to climate change and biodiversity and natural habitats)</i>	The project does not meet the objectives of the Environment Policy.	The project responds in part to the objectives of the Environment Policy.	The project meets at least one objective of the Environment Policy.	The project meets at least 2 objectives of the Environment Policy.	The project meets more than 2 objectives of the Environment Policy.	/10
3. Relevance of the project to the Strategic Plan.	The project does not meet the objectives of the Strategic Plan.	The project responds to one aspiration and no carrier project.	The project responds to one aspiration and only one carrier project.	The project responds to at least one aspiration and two carrier projects.	The project responds to two aspirations and at least three carrier projects.	/10
4. Relevance of the project to the Family and Seniors' policy. <i>(Fosters intergenerational relationships, good-neighbourliness and involvement and expression by young people in the community, encourages collaboration with another domain or organization, promotes active transportation)</i>	The project is not consistent with the Family and Seniors' Policy.	The project responds to 1 aspect of the Family and Seniors' Policy.	The project responds to 2 aspects of the Family and Seniors' Policy.	The project responds to 3 aspects of the Family and Seniors' Policy.	The project responds to at least 4 aspects of the Family and Seniors' Policy.	/10
5. Impact and benefits of the project for the community. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of people reached. • Accessibility to citizens. • Social and community impact. 	The project is accessible for only one family or home/housing unit.	The project is accessible to more than one family/home but has little positive (social and community) impact.	The project reaches many citizens and has a positive (social and community) impact.	At least one neighbourhood or living environment (e.g., community, institutional) will be able to benefit, and the project has a positive (social and community) impact.	The project is accessible and will have a positive (social and community) impact on all citizens of Vaudreuil-Dorion.	/10

ÉCOPROJETS COMMUNITY FUND — EVALUATION GRID

	NIL 0	POOR 3 OR 4	GOOD 5 OR 6	VERY GOOD 7 OR 8	EXCELLENT 9 OR 10	TOTAL
<p>6. Ability to carry out the project.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completion time. • Expertise (if required). • Feasibility (environment). <p>*Minimum score required = 5</p>	The project is not feasible.	The project is not feasible, and the risk of failure is high.	The project is feasible, and the risk of failure is moderate.	The project is feasible, and the risk of failure is low.	The project has clearly demonstrated its ability to deliver.	/10
<p>7. Autonomy and effort required to sustain the project in the long term.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Autonomy of the project over time. • Maintenance efforts required from project leaders. • Human resources and financing required to sustain the project. <p>*Minimum score required = 5</p> <p>*No project requiring maintenance efforts from the City will be eligible</p>	The project requires significant management support or maintenance over time and/or the project will not be able to operate without significant financial resources thereafter.	The project requires regular maintenance, and/or more or less significant and recurring financial resources are required for its long-term operation.	The project requires minimal maintenance and little additional effort from the project leaders to continue its operation over time.	The project requires little maintenance, and the project leaders are able to manage it very well.	The project does not require any maintenance following its completion.	/10
<p>8. Financial stability and realistic budget forecasts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • According to the maximum budget allocated by the City. • Presence and contribution of other financial partners. • Consideration of unforeseen circumstances that might affect the project's completion and require additional expenses. 	The budget is not realistic.	The budget is more or less realistic, and the risk of unforeseen financial expenses is high.	The budget is complete and sufficiently realistic, and the risk of unforeseen financial expenses is moderate.	The budget is complete and realistic, and the risk of unforeseen financial expenses is low.	The budget is complete and realistic.	/10
<p>* If the minimum threshold of criteria 6 and 7 is not met, the project is automatically ineligible.</p> <p>* The minimum required to qualify is 60 points out of 80.</p>					TOTAL	/80